



**YOUR TRIAL ACCESS.**

[iclr.co.uk](http://iclr.co.uk)

## **WELCOME** YOUR TRIAL ACCESS

Thank you for requesting trial access to ICLR.3!

ICLR.3 is a powerful and intuitive online legal research platform that provides you with access to every case ever published by the Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England and Wales (ICLR) alongside a vast library of unreported case law, citator information and legislation.

ICLR has been providing the most authoritative coverage of precedent-setting case law since 1865. ICLR.3 marries state-of-the-art search technology with over 150 years' worth of case law material.

We have put this guide together to help you get the most out of your trial. It covers basic points about ICLR.3 features and how to use them.

Enjoy the trial!

**ICLR.3** THE HOME OF ENGLISH CASE LAW



# GETTING STARTED SETUP AND LOG IN

## System requirements

ICLR.3 works with all modern operating systems and internet browsers. We recommend, for the best experience, that you use Google Chrome or Mozilla Firefox.


## Logging in

The purpose of your trial access is to provide you with access to our premium content, namely cases reported in The Law Reports (AC, QB, Ch and Fam), The Weekly Law Reports (WLR) and our three specialist series of law reports: The Industrial Cases Reports (ICR), The Business Law Reports (Bus LR) and The Public & Third Sector Law Reports (PTSR).

To access the premium content, you must log in.

1. Go to [www.iclr.co.uk](http://www.iclr.co.uk) in your internet browser.
2. The Log In button is located in the top-right corner of the screen on the black bar. Click Log In.
3. The Log In form will appear. Enter the username and password provided to you by ICLR customer service when your trial request was approved.
4. Click Login

Once you have successfully signed in, you will be taken back to the home screen and your username will be displayed in the corner of the screen.



The screenshot shows a login form titled "Login". It contains two input fields: "Username" with the text "daniel" and "Password" with masked characters ".....". Below the password field is a black "Login" button. At the bottom of the form is a link that says "RESET YOUR PASSWORD".

# READY THE HOME SCREEN

The Home Screen provides convenient access to ICLR.3's omnisearch box and a constantly updating feed of new content added to the platform in the form of colour-coded cards.

Click on a card to open the relevant document.

The screenshot displays the ICLR.3 Home Screen interface. At the top left, the logo 'ICLR.3' is visible. A search bar labeled 'Search Case Law' is positioned at the top center, with a magnifying glass icon and a 'Full Search Search Help' link to its right. On the left side, there is a 'Topics' navigation menu with a 'My feed' link and a list of legal categories: All topics, Civil litigation, Commercial, Costs, Crime, Ecclesiastical, Employment, European Union, Family, IP and Media, Land law, Public law, Tax, Tort, and Trusts and Chancery. The main content area features a grid of nine legal case cards, each with a colored header, a title, a case name and citation, and a date. The cards are arranged in three rows and three columns. The first row includes cards for 'NEGLIGENCE', 'CRIME', and 'Trade mark'. The second row includes cards for 'JUSTICES', 'CRIME', and 'NUISANCE'. The third row includes cards for 'EXTRADITION', 'CRIME', and 'TORT'. Each card also has a category label at the bottom and a date.

Topic	Case Name	Date
NEGLIGENCE — Duty of care — Hospital receptionist	Darnley v Croydon Health Services NHS Trust [2018] QB 783	27 Jul 2018
CRIME — Practice — Prosecution of offences	R (Purvis) v Director of Public Prosecutions [2018] WLR(D) 478	27 Jul 2018
Trade mark — European Union trade mark — Proprietor's rights	Mitsubishi Shoji Kaisha Ltd v Duma Forklifts NV [2018] WLR(D) 471	26 Jul 2018
JUSTICES — Information — Whether validly laid	Begum v Luton Borough Council [2018] 1 WLR 3792	25 Jul 2018
CRIME — Court of Appeal (Criminal Division) — Jurisdiction	R v Gohil [2018] 1 WLR 3697	25 Jul 2018
NUISANCE — Public nuisance — Common law offence	R v Stockli (Dylan) [2018] WLR(D) 466	25 Jul 2018
EXTRADITION — Extradition offence — Jurisdiction	Government of the United States of America v Dempsey [2018] 4 WLR 110	23 Jul 2018
CRIME — Homicide — Murder	R v Tunstill [2018] WLR(D) 462	23 Jul 2018
TORT — Cause of action — Invasion of privacy	Richard v British Broadcasting Corporation [2018] WLR(D) 457	20 Jul 2018

You can select a topic from the left navigation to isolate new case law on a specific legal area and personalise your updating feed by clicking on Personalise Feed and selecting the legal topics of interest to you.

# SEARCH OMNISEARCH BOX

🔍 assange

<b>Assange</b> v Swedish Prosecution Authority DC 02 Nov 2011, SC(E) 30 May 2012
<b>Assange</b> v Swedish Prosecution Authority (No 2) (Note) SC(E) 14 Jun 2012
Swedish Judicial Authority v <b>Assange</b> QBD 16 Dec 2010
<b>Assange</b> v Swedish Judicial Authority QBD 16 Dec 2010

## Omnisearch

The Omnisearch Box allows you to search for cases by case name, citation and free text all from a single search box.

ICLR.3 will start making suggestions as you type. You can select a suggestion by clicking on it or submit your search by hitting Enter on your keyboard.

Omnisearch supports a wide query syntax. Click on Search Help for list of supported query types. For a classic search experience, click on Full Search.

# RESULTS DRILL DOWN

## Drill down with result facets

ICLR.3 ranks matching cases by relevance to your search query. Your search query is shown above the list of results, making it easy to edit your search terms.

You can focus the results according to various criteria (including court and date of judgment) using the result facets to the left of the results list.

Click on case name to be taken to the case's citator overview.

Click on a citation to view the corresponding document.

Sort Order: Relevance | Show: 10 | Page: 1 of 5

Clear Search

**Courts**

- Queen's Bench Division 80
- Court of Appeal 50
- Chancery Division 20
- Family Division 10
- Other 10
- Divisional Court 8
- Supreme Court 2
- UT (TCC), UT (AAC) etc. 1

**Content Available**

- Transcript 142
- Case Report 64
- Case Summary 24

**Date of Judgment**

- Previous 10 Years 152
- Previous 5 Years 115
- Previous 2 Years 16
- Previous Year 5

Q twitter

Results: 157

**Chambers v Director of Public Prosecutions**

27 Jul 2012 [2012] EWHC 2157 (Admin); [2013] 1 WLR 1833; [2013] 1 All ER 149; [2013] 1 WLR (D) 234 CRIME — Message of menacing character — Sending by means of public electronic communications network — Defamation — Claimant bringing libel proceedings in respect of messages posted on Twitter online news and information website. Claimant alleged that on 6 January 2010, the following conditions at Robin Hood Airport in ... He had responded by posting a number of "tweets" on Twitter.

Expand

**Monroe v Hopkins**

10 Mar 2017 [2017] EWHC 433 (QB); [2017] 4 WLR 68; [2017] WLR (D) 188, QBD (Warby) DEFAMATION — Libel — Meaning of words [2017] 4 WLR 68 Defamation — Libel — Meaning of words Claimant bringing libel proceedings in respect of messages posted on Twitter online news and information website. Claimant alleged that on 6 January 2010, the following conditions at Robin Hood Airport in ... He had responded by posting a number of "tweets" on Twitter.

Expand

**Cairns v Modi**

05 Mar 2012 [2012] EWHC 483 (QB), QBD (Bean J)  
26 Mar 2012 [2012] EWHC 756 (QB), QBD (Bean J)  
DEFAMATION — Libel — Meaning of words

# VALIDATE & EXPLORE CITATOR INDEX CARDS



ICLR.3's citator index cards are unique to the platform and provide you with an authoritative executive summary of broader context of the case. The citator index cards show you key vital statistics, such as the subject matter of the case, its appellate history, the cases it considered, legislation considered and where and how it has been subsequently considered by later cases.



## Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority

30 May 2012 [2012] 2 AC 471, SC(E)



02 Nov 2011 [2011] EWHC 2849 (Admin); [2011] WLR (D) 315; BAILII, DC  
30 May 2012 [2012] UKSC 22; [2012] 2 AC 471; [2012] 2 WLR 1275; [2012] 4 All ER 1249; BAILII, SC(E)  
See also:  
Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority (No 2) (Note)

### Subject Matter

EUROPEAN UNION — Council framework decision — Justice and home affairs — Whether national law required to be interpreted in conformity with framework decision — European Communities Act 1972, ss 1, 2, 3 (as amended by European Communities (Amendment) Act 1986, s 2(a) and European Union (Amendment) Act 2008, ss 3, 8, Sch 1, Pt 1) — Lisbon Treaty, Protocol No 36

[2012] UKSC 22; [2012] 2 AC 471; [2012] 2 WLR 1275; [2012] 4 All ER 1249; BAILII, SC(E)

EXTRADITION — European arrest warrant — Validity — European arrest warrant issued by public prosecutor of requesting state — Whether public prosecutor “judicial authority” — Whether warrant valid — Extradition Act 2003, s 2 — Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA, art 6 — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties, art 31.3(b)

[2012] UKSC 22; [2012] 2 AC 471; [2012] 2 WLR 1275; [2012] 4 All ER 1249; BAILII, SC(E)

### Appellate History

Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority [2011] EWHC 2849 (Admin); [2011] WLR (D) 315; BAILII, DC

Decision of the Divisional Court of the Queen's Bench Division affirmed on different grounds

Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority [2012] UKSC 22; [2012] 2 AC 471; [2012] 2 WLR 1275; [2012] 4 All ER 1249; BAILII, SC(E)

### Cases Considered

Cases Considered in:  
Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority [2012] UKSC 22; [2012] 2 AC 471; [2012] 2 WLR 1275; [2012] 4 All ER 1249; BAILII, SC(E)

○ Pepper v Hart [1993] AC 593; [1992] 3 WLR 1032; [1993] ICR 29; [1993] 1 All ER 42; [1992] STC 898; 65 TC 421, HL(E) Considered

✘ Criminal proceedings against Pupino (Case C-105/03) [2006] QB 83; [2005] 3 WLR 1102; [2006] All ER (EC) 142, ECJ Not applied

### Words & Phrases

“Judicial authority” — Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA, art 6

### Legislation Considered

#### Statutes

Extradition Act 2003 (c 41), s 2 (as amended)

European Communities Act 1972 (c 68), ss 1, 2, 3 (as amended)

#### EU Enactments

Decision 2002/584/JHA (Council Framework), art 6

Lisbon Treaty, Protocol No 36

#### International Conventions

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (Cmnd 7964), art 31.3(b)

### Subsequent Consideration

Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority [2012] UKSC 22; [2012] 2 AC 471; [2012] 2 WLR 1275; [2012] 4 All ER 1249; BAILII, SC(E)

○ Considered in Cretu v Local Court of Suceava, Romania [2016] EWHC 353 (Admin); [2016] 1 WLR 3344; BAILII, DC

○ Considered in Ministry of Justice, Republic of Lithuania v Bucyns [2013] UKSC 7; [2014] AC 490; [2013] 3 WLR 1485; [2014] 2 All ER 235; [2013] WLR (D) 446; BAILII, SC(E)

✓ Applied in Ministry of Justice, Republic of Lithuania v Bucyns [2012] EWHC 2771 (Admin); [2013] 1 All ER 1220; [2012] WLR (D) 276; BAILII, DC

Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority [2011] EWHC 2849 (Admin); [2011] WLR (D) 315; BAILII, DC

○ Applied in R (F) v Director of Public Prosecutions [2013] EWHC 945 (Admin); [2014] QB 581; [2014] 2 WLR 190; [2013] 2 Cr App R 228; [2013] WLR (D) 178; BAILII, DC

✘ Dictum of Sir John Thomas P, para 47, not followed in Ministry of Justice, Republic of Lithuania v Bucyns [2012] EWHC 2771 (Admin); [2013] 1 All ER 1220; [2012] WLR (D) 276; BAILII, DC

### Commentary

**Public Law**  
Extradition: meaning of judicial authority

[2012] PL 774

**New Law Journal**  
Another day in court for Assange?

(2012) 162 NLJ 761

**UKSC Blog**  
Case Comment: Assange v The Swedish Judicial Authority [2012] UKSC 22

UKSC Blog

The citator index cards allow you to open cases stored on ICLR.3 by clicking on the relevant case's citation (which will appear as a green link) or to view the raw judgment transcript on BAILII (indicated by a blue link).


# READ DYNAMIC CONTENT DISPLAY

## Carefully crafted authority

ICLR.3 provides a rich dynamic reading experience that allows you to focus on the content, move through it in an intuitive way and quickly link through to referenced content.

The reading view allows you to navigate to different sections of the report and jump to specific pages of interest.

Every item of content on ICLR.3 includes a counterpart PDF, which you can access by clicking on the PDF icon above the document view.



In addition to downloading a PDF of the content, you can share documents by saving its URL, emailing it or posting a link on Twitter by clicking on the Share icon above the document view.

Supreme Court

## Assange v Swedish Prosecution Authority (Nos 1 and 2)

[2012] UKSC 22

---

2012 Feb 1, 2;  
May 30;  
June 14

Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers PSC, Lord Walker of Gestingthorpe, Baroness Hale of Richmond, Lord Mance, Lord Kerr of Tonaghmore, Lord Dyson JJSC, Lord Brown of Eaton-under-Heywood

---

European Union— Council framework decision— Justice and home affairs— Whether national law required to be interpreted in conformity with framework decision— European Communities Act 1972 (c 68), ss 1, 2, 3 (as amended by European Communities (Amendment) Act 1986 (c 58), s 2(a) and European Union (Amendment) Act 2008 (c 7), ss 3, 8, Sch, Pt 1)[1] — Lisbon Treaty, Protocol No 36[2]

Extradition— European arrest warrant— Validity— European arrest warrant issued by public prosecutor of requesting state— Whether public prosecutor “judicial authority”— Whether warrant valid— Extradition Act 2003 (c 41), s 2[3] — Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA, art 6[4] — Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1980) (Cmnd 7964), art 31.3(b)[5]

Judgment— Whether to be reopened— Whether judgment given on basis had not been given fair opportunity to address

---

...visiting Sweden, was the subject of complaints by two women of sexual abuse. He co-operated in the preliminary investigations before travelling to Sweden to be interviewed. He was subsequently issued with a European arrest warrant and a detention order in absentia. The order was upheld on appeal on the basis of the Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA and following written argument as to its proportionality. Pursuant to the Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA on the European arrest warrant and the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1980) (Cmnd 7964), art 31.3(b)[5], the European arrest warrant, signed by the prosecutor, was issued in Sweden as a category 1 territory under Part 1 of the Framework Decision. Its designated prosecutors were authorised to issue European arrest warrants seeking extradition. The appellant was arrested in the United Kingdom following a hearing of the senior district judge reviewed the matter. On appeal the appellant challenged the validity of the European arrest warrant. The appellant argued that the prosecutor was not an “issuing judicial authority” within the meaning of the Framework Decision and section 2(2) of the 2003 Act. He argued that the prosecutor was, in effect, a judge or a court, and thus impartial and independent of the parties, and that the prosecutor, who remained a

### Referenced Legislation

European Communities Act 1972 (c 68), ss 1, 2, 3 (as amended by European Communities (Amendment) Act 1986 (c 58), s 2(a) and European Union (Amendment) Act 2008 (c 7), ss 3, 8, Sch, Pt 1)

Lisbon Treaty, Protocol No 36

Extradition Act 2003 (c 41), s 2

Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA, art 6

Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1980) (Cmnd 7964), art 31.3(b)

### Table of Contents

Catchwords

Headnote

Facts

Judgments

### Jump to page

476

# CONTENT WHAT'S INCLUDED?

## LAW REPORTS

### The Law Reports (1865 to present)

The leading series of law reports for England and Wales provides coverage of cases of lasting significance decided in the High Court, Court of Appeal, UK Supreme Court, Privy Council and references to the Court of Justice of the European Union. Reports in The Law Reports also provide a note of the legal submissions made to the court during oral argument.

### The Weekly Law Reports (1953 to present)

Published since 1953, The Weekly Law Reports are the most comprehensive and up to date general series of reports for England and Wales.

### Specialist series

ICLR.3 provides access to three specialist series of reports covering employment disputes (The Industrial Cases Reports), company and commercial law (The Business Law Reports) and public law (The Public & Third Sector Law Reports).

## UNREPORTED JUDGMENTS

ICLR.3 provides comprehensive access to unreported judgments of all superior courts in England and Wales since 2000.

## CASE SUMMARIES

Overnight summaries of all cases of apparent precedential value setting out a clear distillation of the facts and the court's decision are produced within 24 hours of judgment to provide fast coverage of emerging significant case law.

## CITATOR INDEX

ICLR.3's vast index of case metadata provides detailed citator information for all of the cases reported by ICLR, unreported judgments and cases reported in other leading series of reports, including the All England Law Reports, Lloyd's Law Reports, Simon's Tax Cases and the Criminal Appeal Reports.

## GET IN TOUCH

**Website** [iclr.co.uk](http://iclr.co.uk) **Email** [enquiries@iclr.co.uk](mailto:enquiries@iclr.co.uk) **Phone** +44 207 242 6471